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Abstract

Aim: This study aims to assess the effect of pranayama on patients undergoing radiation therapy for the first time.

Materials and Methods: A true experimental design was used on 60 patients undergoing radiation for the first time in a selected hospital of a metropolitan city.

Results: The results show that there was a noticeable improvement in anxiety and selected physiological parameters among patients undergoing radiation therapy for the first time after pranayama. Anxiety is statistically verified with Z value which was 4.66 against table value of 1.96 for the experimental group. Means of systolic BP in the experimental group are 136.7, 124.6, and 135.4 for pre-intervention, post-intervention I, and II, respectively, which shows the minimal difference. Means of diastolic BP for pre-intervention, post-intervention I, and II are 83.7, 77, and 80.8, respectively, which shows the difference. Pre-intervention reading of heart rate highlights as 92.2 mean, which shows a noticeable reduction as 85 and 87.9 in I and II intervention. Mean of systolic BP in the control group shows 125.6, 123.9, and 126.6 for pre-intervention, post-intervention I, and II, respectively, which shows a rise in values. Mean of diastolic BP for pre-intervention is 77.1, post-intervention I, and II is 76.5 which shows no difference. Pre-intervention mean of heart rate is 84.5 that shows not much change as 83.6 and 84.1 in I and II intervention.

Conclusion: The analysis of data showed that pranayama can be used to reduce anxiety and maintain the physiological parameters in patients undergoing radiation therapy for the first time.
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INTRODUCTION

Cancer has a major impact on society all over the world. Each person reacts differently to cancer diagnosis and will cope in different ways. The incidence of cancer in India is 70–90 per 100,000 populations. About 6% of death in India are due to cancers, which contribute to 8% of global cancer mortality.¹ Radiation therapy is one of the most common treatments for cancer. It uses high energy particles or waves such as X-rays, gamma rays, electron beams, and to destroy or damage cancer cells.

Each person reacts differently to a cancer diagnosis and will cope in different ways. Starting radiation therapies can lead to new worries and fears and may cause anxiety. Studies have shown that there can be an increase in heart rate and blood pressure in patients undergoing radiation therapy because of the fear of radiation.² Most people with anxiety show a combination of physical and psychological symptoms. Psychological
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symptoms may include symptoms such as feeling restless and irritable, tense or jumpy, and anticipating the worst. Physical symptoms include rapid or pounding heartbeat, shortness of breath, sweating, fatigue or weakness, etc.\textsuperscript{[1]} Anxiety can be treated medically with drugs called as benzodiazepines, but their use has been declined due to addictive nature. Other therapies can be psychological counseling, relaxation methods, exercise, rest, and meditation technique.\textsuperscript{[4]}

The potential for complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) to manage stress and anxiety and alleviate other health problems is an area of growing interest. The people with cancer are increasingly using CAM to treat cancer or improve physical or psychological well-being. Relaxation techniques are easy ways that can be effectively used to combat stress and anxiety.

Although the effectiveness of most CAM therapies is lacking, number of studies of CAM therapies have shown promising results, suggesting that CAM may be able to alleviate psychological and/or physical symptoms and improve quality of life in patients with cancer. There is an urgent need for positive results to be verified in larger studies using methodologies appropriate and sensitive to CAM.\textsuperscript{[5]}

Pranayama is the art of breath control whereby the mental and physical state is brought to a harmonious state of health and serenity. In this study, pranayama means alternate nostril breathing. It is also called as \textit{Nadi Shodhan pranayama} or \textit{Anulom Vilom}. Nadis are the subtle energy channels in the body that get blocked due to various reasons. The \textit{Nadi Shodhan pranayama} is the breathing technique that helps clear this blocked energy channels, thus calming the mind.\textsuperscript{[6]}

In this study, the effect is appreciable difference post-intervention in the following parameters:

For anxiety, the effect is a decrease in score by a minimum three on Spielberger State-trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI).

For selected physiological parameters, the effect is a decrease in score by a minimum five on physiological parameters checklist.

Anxiety is the physical and psychological disturbances in patients undergoing radiation for the first time, as measured by STAI before and after pranayama.

Physiological parameters include heart rate and systolic, diastolic, and mean blood pressure as measured by non-invasive calibrated digital B.P apparatus.

**Materials and Methods**

The research objectives have been met through the analysis of relevant data obtained through a study conducted in a selected hospital of a metropolitan city. A sample size of 60 patients undergoing radiation therapy for the first time (30 in experimental and control groups, respectively) who fulfilled the inclusion criteria was selected and the data obtained were analyzed using a t-test. Further, the scoring is done using STAI which was graded as mild, moderate, and severe to assess the anxiety levels. The observation checklist for physiological parameters was used.

**Research Approach**

The research approach collected for this study is true experimental.

**Research Design**

In this study, pre- and post-test control group design is used.

**Variable**

- Independent variable: Pranayama.
- Dependent variable: Anxiety and physiological parameters, that is, blood pressure and heart rate.

**Setting**

The investigator conducted the study in the radiation department of a selected hospital in a metropolitan city.

**Hypotheses**

**Null hypotheses**

\( \text{H}0_1: \text{There is no significant change in Anxiety levels after pranayama.} \)

\( \text{H}0_2: \text{There is no significant change in selected physiological parameters after pranayama.} \)

**Research hypotheses**

\( \text{H}1: \text{There is a significant change in anxiety levels after pranayama.} \)

\( \text{H}2: \text{There is a significant change in selected physiological parameters after pranayama.} \)

**Sample**

The samples who are participating in the study consist of patients undergoing radiation therapy for the first time.

**Criteria for sample selection**

The subjects included in the study had to fulfill the following criteria.

**Inclusion Criteria**

- Patient undergoing radiation therapy for the first time.
- Patient above 20 years of age and willing to participate.
- Patients who know Hindi/Marathi/English.
- Patients having a score of more than 30 on STAI.

**Exclusion Criteria**

- Patients with psychiatric illness or disorder.
- Patients with lung condition, abdominal inflammation, and hernia.
- Patients with nasopharyngeal cancer.

**Sample Size**

In this study, the sample size is 60, with 30 of them assigned each to experimental and the control group.
Sampling Technique
Simple random sampling was the method adopted. In this method of sampling, each element in the population has an equal, independent chance of being selected. Samples were selected by lottery method. Chits with a unique number in it were made and were placed in a bowl. Each day, after proper mixing, randomly chits were picked up, and alternate chits were included in each group.

Data Collection Tool
For the sample selection, the investigator approached the concerned authority of the selected hospital in a metropolitan city and discussed the objective of the study. Formal permission was taken from authority consent, which was obtained from the participation.

- Section A – Demographic variables.
- Section B – STAI Y-6.
- Section C – Observational checklist for physiological parameters
- Section D – Opinionnaire related to intervention

Results
Section – I
This section deals with the demographic data of samples in both the experimental and control group. The data are presented in the form of frequency and percentage.

Table 1 depicts the distribution of the subjects in relation to their age, gender, and education. Maximum subjects in the experimental and control groups 50% (15) and 53.3% (16), respectively, were in the age group of 41-60 years. Males were 46.7% (14) and 50% (15), while the females were 53.3% (16) and 50% (15) in the experimental and control group, respectively. Most of the subjects under the study were graduated and above with 60% (18) in the experimental group and 63.3% (19) in the control group.

Table 2 depicts the distribution of the sample in relation to their type of cancer. In the experimental group, among 30 samples, maximum sample 12 (40%) of them belonged to other categories of cancer followed by 7 (23.3%) samples suffering from breast cancer. A few 6 (20%) belonged to the head and neck cancer category. Three (10%) samples had Hodgkin’s and non-Hodgkin’s type of cancer and minimum 2 (6.7%) samples were suffering from brain cancer.

Control group among 30 samples, maximum sample 8 (26.7%) of them belonged to other and breast cancer categories. Moderate 6 (20%) sample suffering from head and neck cancer category. A few 5 (16.7%) belonged to brain cancer and minimum 3 (10%) sample were suffering from Hodgkin’s and non-Hodgkin’s type of cancer

Table 3 elicits the distribution of the sample in relation to their comorbidities. Maximum samples in both the control and experimental group had no comorbidities.

Table 4 represents the assessment pre- and post-test mean of physiological parameters among patients undergoing radiation therapy in the experimental group. Data of systolic BP in the experimental group show 136.7 pre-intervention mean. Post-intervention I indicates as 124.6 mean and post-
Table 5: Distribution of sample according to the pre- and post-test mean of physiological parameters among patients undergoing radiation therapy in the control group, n=30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Physiological parameters</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-intervention</td>
<td>Post-intervention I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>125.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mean BP</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>84.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Distribution of sample according to the pre- and post-test observations of anxiety level among patients undergoing radiation therapy in the experimental group, n=30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Anxiety level</th>
<th>STAI score range</th>
<th>Pre-intervention</th>
<th>Post-intervention I</th>
<th>Post-intervention II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F %</td>
<td>F %</td>
<td>F %</td>
<td>F %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild anxiety</td>
<td>20–39</td>
<td>4 13.3</td>
<td>12 40.0</td>
<td>19 63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Moderate anxiety</td>
<td>40–59</td>
<td>22 73.3</td>
<td>18 60.0</td>
<td>11 36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Severe anxiety</td>
<td>60–80</td>
<td>4 13.3</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7: Distribution of sample according to the pre- and post-test observations of anxiety level among patients undergoing radiation therapy in the control group, n=30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Anxiety level</th>
<th>STAI score range</th>
<th>Pre-intervention</th>
<th>Post-intervention I</th>
<th>Post-intervention II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F %</td>
<td>F %</td>
<td>F %</td>
<td>F %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild anxiety</td>
<td>20–39</td>
<td>1 3.3</td>
<td>3 10.0</td>
<td>7 23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Moderate anxiety</td>
<td>40–59</td>
<td>27 90.0</td>
<td>25 83.3</td>
<td>22 73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Severe anxiety</td>
<td>60–80</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>2 6.7</td>
<td>1 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>20–80</td>
<td>30 100</td>
<td>30 100</td>
<td>30 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
diastolic BP and heart rate physiological parameters; hence, null hypothesis \((H_0)\) is accepted for systolic BP and mean BP and alternate hypothesis \((H_1)\) is rejected, whereas null hypothesis \((H_0)\) is rejected for diastolic BP and heart rate and alternate hypothesis \((H_1)\) is accepted in case of comparison of post-test II experimental and control group.

The data displayed in Table 9 shows that there is a significant difference in comparing post-intervention I and post-intervention II anxiety levels between the experimental and control group. Hypothesis was tested using the Mann–Whitney test as the data were in the ordinal format. The z table value for 0.05 level of significance is 1.96. The Mann–Whitney “U” calculated value for post-intervention I was found to be 223. After conversion into Z stat, the calculated “z” value of 3.38 and post-intervention II was found to be 260. After conversion into Z stat, the calculated “z” value of 2.84 was found to be more than the Z tab score of 1.96 for anxiety level; therefore, the null hypothesis \((H_0)\) is rejected and alternate hypothesis \((H_1)\) is accepted for comparison between the group for both post-intervention I and post-intervention II anxiety levels.

Table 10 represents the distribution of the sample in relation to their response toward opinionnaire related to intervention in the experimental group among patients undergoing radiation therapy. It is observed that all the samples have responded positively for all the above questions. From this, we can interpret that the perception of experimental samples is that the pranayama is beneficial in reducing anxiety levels and normalizing physiological parameters.

Table 11 displays the association of anxiety with educational qualification in both experimental and control groups. The Kruskal–Wallis test for independent samples was conducted to find the significant difference between groups of education with respect to anxiety. The calculated \(X^2\) value of anxiety for educational qualification is 2.35 in the experimental group and 7.11 in the control group. Both the calculated \(X^2\) values are less than their respective \(X^2\) table value at 0.05 levels. From the above, we can state that there is no statistically significant difference between the groups of demographic variable education with respect to their anxiety.

**DISCUSSION**

A cancer diagnosis can have a huge impact on most patients, families, and caregivers. Radiation is a therapy which most of the cancer patients have to undergo as a part of treatment.
Feelings of depression, anxiety, and fear are very common and are normal responses to the treatment of cancer such as radiation.

Sundaresan et al. (2012) conducted a cross-sectional survey on 1191 cancer patients undergoing radiation therapy to know the barriers to radiotherapy utilization. It was found that major concerns about (78%) were about anxiety related to radiation and lack of radiation therapy information. Similar results were found in the present study, where 73% of the patients undergoing radiation therapy had moderate anxiety.

Marayam Bigdoli (2016) conducted a study to assess the effect of pranayama on anxiety levels in patients undergoing angiography. Tools used were demographic questionnaire and STAI which was used before intervention, half hour, and 1 h after the intervention. The mean score of anxiety was decreased from 53.37 to 40.75 after pranayama. In the present study, the anxiety levels were checked pre-intervention, 5 min after intervention, and 15 min after radiation therapy. The mean scores of anxiety were decreased from 48.2 to 39.9. Thus, in both the studies, three observations were taken and similar results were found. Furthermore, the study helped in selecting the tool for the assessment of anxiety.

Sharma et al. conducted a study on 90 health-care students, who were divided into two groups, to compare the effects of slow and fast pranayama on stress and cardiovascular parameters. One group was made to perform Nadi Shodhan pranayama, pranava pranayama, which are of slow types, and the other was made to perform kapalbhatti, bhashrika, which are of fast type for 30 min thrice a week. They concluded that slow pranayama is more effective in cardiovascular parameters. In the present study, there was a significant decrease in the cardiovascular parameters, that is, systolic, diastolic, and mean BP and HR after practicing Nadi Shodhan pranayama for 30 mins. Thus, Nadi Shodhan pranayama helps in maintaining the cardiovascular parameters.

### Conclusion

The findings of this study showed that practicing pranayama was effective in reducing anxiety and physiological parameters in patients undergoing radiation for the first time. The control group, who was given only standard care, was indicative of a high level of anxiety. Hence, it proves that there is a need to decrease the anxiety of the patient undergoing radiation for the first time, which can be done by pranayama.
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